REVIEW

Improving breeding efficiency in potato using molecular and quantitative genetics

Anthony T. Slater · Noel O. I. Cogan · Benjamin J. Hayes · Lee Schultz · M. Finlay B. Dale · Glenn J. Bryan · John W. Forster

Received: 22 January 2014 / Accepted: 23 August 2014 / Published online: 4 September 2014 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract

Key message **Potatoes are highly heterozygous and the conventional breeding of superior germplasm is chal‑ lenging, but use of a combination of MAS and EBVs can accelerate genetic gain.**

Abstract Cultivated potatoes are highly heterozygous due to their outbreeding nature, and suffer acute inbreeding depression. Modern potato cultivars also exhibit tetrasomic inheritance. Due to this genetic heterogeneity, the large number of target traits and the specific requirements of commercial cultivars, potato breeding is challenging. A conventional breeding strategy applies phenotypic recurrent selection over a number of generations, a process which can take over 10 years. Recently, major advances in genetics and molecular biology have provided breeders with molecular tools to accelerate gains for some traits. Marker-assisted selection (MAS) can be effectively used for the identification of major genes and quantitative trait loci that exhibit large effects. There are also a number of complex traits of interest, such as yield, that are influenced by a large number of genes of individual small effect where

Communicated by Rajeev K. Varshney.

A. T. Slater (\boxtimes) · N. O. I. Cogan · B. J. Hayes · L. Schultz · J. W. Forster

Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Biosciences Research Division, AgriBio, Centre for AgriBioscience, Bundoora, Melbourne, VIC 3083, Australia e-mail: tony.slater@depi.vic.gov.au

A. T. Slater · B. J. Hayes · J. W. Forster La Trobe University, Bundoora, Melbourne, VIC 3086, Australia

M. F. B. Dale · G. J. Bryan James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, Scotland, UK

MAS will be difficult to deploy. Progeny testing and the use of pedigree in the analysis can provide effective identification of the superior genetic factors that underpin these complex traits. Recently, it has been shown that estimated breeding values (EBVs) can be developed for complex potato traits. Using a combination of MAS and EBVs for simple and complex traits can lead to a significant reduction in the length of the breeding cycle for the identification of superior germplasm.

Introduction

Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) are the fourth most important human food crop, and the most important nongrain crop. Despite this, many current commercial cultivars suffer from a number of production and quality issues. In particular, disease susceptibility can be potentially catastrophic for a clonally propagated crop such as potato. New cultivars are therefore required, which are high yielding, disease resistant and produce tubers with satisfactory quality attributes. However, as conventional breeding takes a significant time (often more than 10 years) to produce improved germplasm, there is a pressing need to accelerate genetic improvement in potato. Recent advances that have the potential to improve breeding efficiency are therefore the focus of this review.

The cultivated potato has evolved significantly from the original landrace introductions into the Old World, through transformation of the relatively small and highly distorted tubers of primitive material to the comparatively large, uniform tubers of modern day cultivars. The primitive tuber offered significant advantage for a wild species, providing a secondary method of reproduction, enabling regeneration as clonal propagules in the absence of seed production. This secondary strategy for propagation is complementary to the obligate outbreeding reproductive habit of the progenitor species, which could limit seed production in unfavourable seasons.

The inherent high level of heterozygosity that results from an outbreeding habit, linked with tetraploidy, has enabled potatoes to readily adapt to a wide range of environmental conditions through the development of locally adapted cultivars. High levels of genetic heterogeneity have also enabled the development of cultivars for multiple applications, such as fresh market use, French fry and crisp processing, and starch production. Although some selection and breeding may be achieved without detailed genetic knowledge, effective breeding programmes are now enhanced by both understanding and utilisation of the underlying genetics of the target breeding traits. Recent significant advances in molecular genetics and the analysis of highly complex quantitative traits, can be exploited by potato breeders to accelerate genetic gains, thus enabling more rapid improvements in potato cultivars.

Biological factors underpinning the highly heterozygous nature of potato

Potatoes have a highly heterozygous genotypic constitution due to the reproductive habit of the progenitor species and landraces, which also exhibit variation in their ploidy levels. The tuber-bearing *Solanum* species are grouped into section *Petota* Dumort., and recent taxonomic classifications recognised 110 wild species and four cultivated species (Spooner et al. [2007](#page-12-0), [2009](#page-12-1); Ovchinnikova et al. [2011](#page-12-2)), which range from diploid to hexaploid. Modern cultivars are the products of intensive breeding using *S. tuberosum* and 15 other members of section *Petota* (Ross [1986](#page-12-3); Plaisted and Hoopes [1989](#page-12-4); Ovchinnikova et al. [2011\)](#page-12-2), and are therefore hybrid in nature.

Nearly all diploid *Solanum* species exhibit gametophytic self-incompatibility and are obligate outbreeders. In contrast, the polyploid potato taxa are capable of self-fertilisation (Jansky [2009\)](#page-11-0). Despite this, substantial levels (c. 40 %) of cross-pollination were estimated between *S. tuberosum* ssp. *andigena* genotypes in a natural Andean population (Brown [1993](#page-10-0)). Such a level of outcrossing generates allelic heterozygosity within and between individuals, and mitigates levels of inbreeding depression that would otherwise result from self-pollination (Bradshaw et al. [2006\)](#page-10-1).

The cultivated potato is also an autotetraploid $(2n = 4x = 48)$ and displays tetrasomic inheritance. At any given locus, five distinct genotypes may be observed, further contributing to the high level of genetic heterogeneity (Howard [1970;](#page-11-1) Ross [1986;](#page-12-3) Hawkes [1990](#page-11-2); Bradshaw [2007b](#page-9-0); Jansky [2009](#page-11-0)). Cytological studies have revealed that homologous chromosomes in autopolyploids may exhibit segregation patterns based on a mixture of bivalent and multivalent pairing configurations at prophase of meiosis I (Swaminathan and Howard [1953](#page-13-0); Wallace and Callow [1995](#page-13-1); Stein et al. [2004\)](#page-12-5). In addition, in multivalents 'double reduction' can result in alleles at target loci being delivered to the same gamete from sister chromatids (Bradshaw [2007a;](#page-9-1) Milbourne et al. [2008](#page-11-3)). The combination of an outbreeding habit, with an autotetraploid genetic constitution ensures that potato populations are highly genetically heterogeneous, which has enabled the potato to successfully adapt to a range of production environments and selected uses.

Genetic diversity of wild potatoes

The tuber-bearing *Solanum* wild species are highly diverse and are naturally distributed over a broad ecogeographical range, being indigenous to 16 countries (ranging from 38°N to 41°S) (Hawkes [1990](#page-11-2); Spooner and Hijmans [2001](#page-12-6)). In addition, they range from medium-to-high to lowland altitudes, which exposes the plants to a range of climates that include freezing temperatures to semi-desert regions, and cool temperate to tropical rainforests (Hawkes [1994\)](#page-11-4).

Many wild species possess genes for numerous traits not present in cultivated germplasm and represent a rich resource for improvements in disease resistance, abiotic stress resistance and tuber quality characters (Hanneman [1989](#page-11-5); Spooner and Bamberg [1994;](#page-12-7) Jansky [2009](#page-11-0)). However, introgression of desirable genes from non-domesticated species often requires several generations of breeding to develop a commercial cultivar, partially due to linkage drag of genes for undesirable traits (e.g. presence of glycoalkaloids) along with genes for desirable characters. The deliberate introgression of genes from wild species began in 1909, and has been limited in success, although the successful introduction of dominant major genes and quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for resistance to late blight, viruses and cyst nematodes are significant exceptions (Bradshaw [2007b](#page-9-0)).

Breeding strategy

A conventional potato breeding strategy employs phenotypic recurrent selection over a number of generations (Bradshaw and Mackay [1994\)](#page-10-2). Typically, large breeding populations are subjected to a progression of selection pressures to reduce the population size, while concurrently increasing the number of plants of each genotype under evaluation (Bradshaw and Mackay [1994;](#page-10-2) Jansky [2009\)](#page-11-0). A breeder will typically select parents for potential pair-wise crossing on the basis of complementary phenotypic characters (Bradshaw and Mackay [1994](#page-10-2); Bradshaw [2007b\)](#page-9-0). These parents will generally be high-performing cultivars which require additional improvement, or breeding lines that are proven elite parents and produce higher numbers of superior offspring.

Populations are screened over a number of clonal generations for a range of characters. It is often important to evaluate new cultivars over a number of years and in a number of appropriate environments, a process which can take over 10 years (Jansky [2009](#page-11-0)). Many traits contributing to the phenotype of a potato plant can be highly influenced by the growing environment, for example yield, tuber number, tuber size, specific gravity and processing quality (Jansky [2009\)](#page-11-0).

Approximately 40 traits are considered during new cultivar development (Gebhardt [2013](#page-10-3)), broadly divided into yield-related and tuber quality characteristics, as well as tolerances to both biotic and abiotic stresses. This makes breeding potatoes more challenging in comparison to grains or forages, as a variety of market specific traits have to be considered as well as typical production traits due to its horticultural nature. Knowledge of the genetic and other environmental influences on the expression of the target traits is important (Table [1](#page-3-0)), and will influence methods for the identification of superior parents, screening of the derived populations and effective selection methods to identify superior phenotypes.

Genetics of desirable potato traits (simple to complex)

As the cultivated potato is an autotetraploid, breeding using genetic information requires a consideration of the effects of tetrasomic inheritance (Bradshaw [2007a;](#page-9-1) Jansky [2009](#page-11-0)). Despite the added complexity that autotetraploidy contributes, inheritance patterns still follow the principles of Mendelian genetics for traits under single gene control, albeit more complex, or quantitative genetics for traits under multiple gene control.

There are a number of qualitative traits in potato that are regulated by major genes. These include many disease resistance genes: *H1* for *Globodera rostochiensis* Ro1 and Ro4 (Toxopeus and Huijsman [1953](#page-13-2); Simko et al. [2007](#page-12-8)), *Nx* or Rx_{adg} for *Potato virus X* (PVX) (Cockerham [1970](#page-10-4); Simko et al. [2007](#page-12-8)), *Ny* or *Rysto* for *Potato virus Y* (PVY) (Cockerham [1970](#page-10-4); Simko et al. [2007](#page-12-8)), and there are several major resistance genes for race specific *Phytophthora infestans* (late blight pathogen), notably *R1*-*R11* identified in the hexaploid *S. demissum* (Simko et al. [2007\)](#page-12-8), and *RB/Rpi*-*blb1* from *S. bulbocastanum*, which provides resistance that is race non-specific (Naess et al. [2000\)](#page-12-9). Major genes have also been mapped for the control of flesh, skin and flower colour, for tuber shape and for eye depth (Bradshaw [2007b\)](#page-9-0).

Progeny tests can be used to determine the allele dosage for qualitative traits in prospective parents, as segregation ratios in the progenies will be in proportion to the allele dosage of the parent. Tetrasomic inheritance also has implications for which families need screening within a breeding programme. With simplex and duplex parents, the progeny requires screening for identification of gene presence, triplex parents will produce the vast majority of progeny with the gene, but screening will still be necessary due to double reduction, which can lead to low frequency loss of the target gene, and quadruplex parents require only confirmation of the genes' effectiveness prior to commercial release.

Although some traits are under the control of major genes, the majority of traits of interest are influenced by multiple genes with individually small effects. The genetic analysis of quantitative traits does not conform to the relatively simple segregating ratios of qualitative traits, but can still be analysed using family means and variances. When individuals within a family are scored for a quantitative trait, the mean phenotype of the family will be due to the joint action of the respective genes influencing the trait and any environmental interaction. Due to this interaction, the progeny will usually exhibit a normal distribution for the trait of interest. Different families will exhibit different means and variances due to their different genotypic content. Traits controlled by multiple genes may also exhibit additive and/ or dominance effects exerted by allelic variants on trait expression. As the phenotypic variance exhibited within a population is the result of both genetic and environmental factors, if the environmental variation can be reduced by trial design to be close to zero, the genotypic variation will approximately equal the measured phenotypic variation (Falconer and Mackay [1996](#page-10-5); Kearsey and Pooni [1998\)](#page-11-6).

Robust progeny tests for phenotypic determination of superior progeny sets will determine the parental values for these traits, without identifying the location or number of genes that regulate trait expression. The analysis of quantitative traits is important for population improvement, method of selection and genetic gain (Moose and Mumm [2008](#page-12-10)). Livestock breeding programmes have greatly benefited from the estimation of the genetic merit of selection candidates based on phenotypic and pedigree information. For example, significant gains have been obtained for milk yield in Holstein cattle in the USA (Van Vleck et al. [1986](#page-13-3)). This analysis has recently been applied in a potato programme, leading to significant increase of predicted genetic gain for specific traits (Slater et al. [2014\)](#page-12-11).

Development of genomic resources

Major advances in molecular genetics have helped plant breeders to accelerate genetic gain in crops. Genetic markers

Table 1 The main potato traits grouped in categories and listed by the complexity of their genetic control

Trait	Known or likely genetic control	References
Plant development and morphology		
Skin colour	Single genes. Brown skin dominant over pale. Individual loci control red pigment in the skin and eyes, and are closely linked	(Ortiz and Huaman 1994; van Eck 2007)
Flesh colour	Single genes. Yellow dominant over white, intensity due to modifier genes. Purple flesh dominant over white	(Ortiz and Huaman 1994; van Eck 2007)
Tuber shape	Possibly one to a few genes, round is dominant over long	(Ortiz and Huaman 1994; van Eck 2007)
Eye depth & eye brows	Contrary reports on dominance of shallow or deep eyes	(Ortiz and Huaman 1994)
Skin texture	Controlled by three genes, with heavy russeting caused by homozygosity at one or more location	(de Jong 1981)
Plant maturity	Polygenic with a major QTL	(Kloosterman et al. 2013)
Bruising	Unknown genetic control	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
Tuber size	Polygenic	(Celis-Gamboa et al. 2003)
Tuber uniformity	Polygenic	(Celis-Gamboa et al. 2003)
Dormancy	Polygenic	(Simmonds 1964)
Salt tolerance	Polygenic	(Donnelly et al. 2007)
Plant type	Polygenic, upright is dominant to prostrate	(Ortiz and Huaman 1994)
Visual preference	Polygenic due to multiple traits	(Maris 1988)
Drought tolerance	Likely to be highly polygenic	(Vos and Haverkort 2007)
Heat tolerance	Likely to be highly polygenic	
Yield	Likely to be highly polygenic	
Tuber postharvest quality		
Cold sweetening resistance	Heritable, polygenic	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
Dry matter/Specific gravity	Strongly heritable but affected by environment	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
Greening	Polygenic	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
Boil sloughing/texture	Polygenic	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
After cooking darkening	Polygenic	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
Crisp colour	Highly heritable, polygenic due to multiple traits	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
Tuber internals	Polygenic due to multiple traits	(Dale and Mackay 1994; van Eck 2007)
Disease resistance		
Potato virus S	Single gene	(Swiezynski 1994; Simko et al. 2007)
Potato virus X	Single genes	(Cockerham 1970; Simko et al. 2007)
Potato virus Y	Single genes	(Cockerham 1970; Simko et al. 2007)
Tomato spotted wilt virus	Single gene in tomato and capsicum, unknown in potato	(Bakker et al. 2011)
Potato cyst nematode	Single dominant genes, also polygenic	(Toxopeus and Huijsman 1953; Simko et al. 2007)
Potato leaf roll virus	Single genes with modifying genes and environmental interactions	(Swiezynski 1994; Simko et al. 2007)
Late blight	Multiple single genes and QTLs for various strains	(Umaerus and Umaerus 1994; Simko et al. 2007)
Early blight	Heritable, few genes	(Pavek and Corsini 1994; Simko et al. 2007)
Root-knot nematode	Highly heritable, 3 genes	(Phillips 1994)
Verticillium wilt	Heritable, polygenic	(Pavek and Corsini 1994; Simko et al. 2007)
Phomea dry rot	Heritable, polygenic	(Wastie et al. 1988)
Fusarium dry rot	Heritable, polygenic	(Pavek and Corsini 1994)
Root lesion nematode	Little is known on resistance, likely polygenic	(Phillips 1994)
Black dot	Little is known on resistance, likely polygenic	(Wastie 1994)
Silver scurf	Little is known on resistance, likely polygenic	(Wastie 1994)
Rhizoctonia black scurf	Polygenic	(Wastie 1994)
Rhizoctonia stem canker	Polygenic	(Wastie 1994)
Common scab	Polygenic	(Wastie 1994)
Powdery scab	Polygenic	(Wastie 1991)

based on DNA-level variation, provide the potential to assist in the identification of genes of interest to enable markerassisted selection (MAS) for the development of new cultivars. Due to their relative abundance across the genome, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are the most versatile molecular marker system to be developed to date. SNP frequency in potato has been estimated to be c. 1 per 24 base pairs (bp) within exons (Uitdewilligen et al. [2013](#page-13-11)), providing a striking illustration of the highly heterozygous nature of cultivated potato. Molecular markers developed from transcribed regions of the genome, often described as functional or 'perfect' markers (Andersen and Lübberstedt [2003](#page-9-3)), are expected to display superior performance to less closely linked genetic markers, due to the capacity to detect variation adjacent or coincident with the causal polymorphism.

Advances in molecular biology, particularly due to resequencing multiple genomes, have provided a wealth of knowledge and resources that can be used to assist genomics-based research on target traits. Varshney et al. ([2005\)](#page-13-12) described a number of bioinformatic tools and internet accessible databases, along with their respective applications, and Li et al. [\(2008b](#page-11-9)) also documented a collection of potato specific on-line resources, all of which have applications for potato breeding. Generating genomic resources for crops can now be performed easily, quickly and cheaply, making any list of resources rapidly outdated. However, to deliver maximal results in a genomics-assisted breeding programme, efforts must be made to continuously integrate new methods and information as they become available.

Potato genetic maps and genome sequence

Knowledge of the degree of association between target genes (presence and extent of genetic linkage), and the ability to trace inheritance of genes for key traits will provide valuable information to the breeder. Consequently, the development of genetic maps is usually a required intermediary step to the identification of DNA-based markers for implementation in potato breeding, and significant efforts have been applied to this activity (Bonierbale et al. [1988](#page-9-4); Gebhardt et al. [1989](#page-10-10), [1991](#page-10-11); Tanksley et al. [1992;](#page-13-13) Milbourne et al. [1998](#page-11-10); Dong et al. [2000](#page-10-12); Van Os et al. [2006](#page-13-14)). Genetic maps have been constructed for traits of interest such as pathogen resistance (Leister et al. [1996;](#page-11-11) Grube et al. [2000](#page-10-13); Gebhardt and Valkonen [2001](#page-10-14)), tuber quality traits (Chen et al. [2001;](#page-10-15) Bradshaw et al. [2008](#page-10-16)), and yield and agronomic traits (Bradshaw et al. [2008\)](#page-10-16). Resources have also been deployed to statistical analysis for the construction of linkage maps for autotetraploids (Luo et al. [2004](#page-11-12), [2006](#page-11-13)), and the development of computational software and algorithms for linkage map construction (Hackett and Luo [2003](#page-11-14); Hackett et al. [2007](#page-11-15), [2013](#page-11-16)).

The sequence of the potato genome has recently been determined for a *S. tuberosum* group Phureja 'doubled monoploid' homozygous genotype, leading to identification of over 39,000 protein-coding genes (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium [2011\)](#page-12-16). The sequencing programme also resulted in the identification of 755 genes that encode polypeptides that contain nucleotide binding (NB) and leucine rich repeat (LRR) domains (Bakker et al. [2011](#page-9-2); Jupe et al. [2013](#page-11-17)), which account for the majority of currently identified plant resistance (R) proteins (Tameling and Takken [2008](#page-13-15)). The NB-LRR, or R genes have been characterised in up to 63 gene clusters within the potato genome (Bakker et al. [2011](#page-9-2); Jupe et al. [2012](#page-11-18), [2013](#page-11-17)), and using comparative genomics of functional R gene homologues, known in other Solanaceae species, candidate R genes effective against common pathogens can be identified (Peters et al. [2012](#page-12-17); Quirin et al. [2012](#page-12-18); Tomato Genome Consortium [2012;](#page-13-16) Andolfo et al. [2013](#page-9-5)). The identification of specific causal R genes will permit development of diagnostic genetic markers for rapid deployment.

The completion of the genome sequence has also led to the development of a highly multiplexed SNP chip [\(http://](http://solcap.msu.edu/potato_infinium.shtml) solcap.msu.edu/potato_infinium.shtml) as well as the ability to re-sequence selected portions of the genome for the delivery of large numbers of molecular markers in a rapid time-frame (Hamilton et al. [2011;](#page-11-19) Felcher et al. [2012](#page-10-17)). The delivery of comprehensive marker systems will undoubtedly increase the speed with which researchers can identify and deliver marker tools to breeders. With this expanding genetic and genomic resource available to potato research, it is likely that markers for genes controlling a larger collection of traits will be generated and will need to be implemented for the improvement of the crop, which will deliver further challenges.

What is required for the adoption of genomics‑assisted breeding?

The use of molecular genetic markers provides the opportunity to significantly reduce both the duration and costs of a breeding cycle. Although a substantial number of genetic markers linked to genes for important agronomic traits have been identified over the past 20 years (Barone [2004](#page-9-6); Gebhardt et al. [2005;](#page-10-18) De Koeyer et al. [2011](#page-10-19); Gebhardt [2013](#page-10-3)), few reports have been made of their implementation into commercial potato breeding programmes (Dalla Rizza et al. [2006;](#page-10-20) Ottoman et al. [2009;](#page-12-19) Ortega and Lopez-Vizcon [2012](#page-12-20); Schultz et al. [2012\)](#page-12-21). The scale of available genomic resources will continue to increase as a consequence of improvements in next-generation DNA sequencing technology, high-throughput genotyping and bioinformatic analysis. Delivery of the potato genome sequence will

provide major opportunities to develop individual markers for target traits, as well as the use of whole genotype data for prediction of genetic merit (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium [2011](#page-12-16)). The crucial question remains: what are the key requirements for genomics-assisted strategies to be adopted by potato breeding programmes?

A number of factors need to be considered for the effective use of DNA-based markers in MAS and its application in potato breeding. The relevant marker assays must be consistently reproducible in different laboratories and closely linked to, or preferably completely diagnostic for, the trait allele that is the target for selection (Collard et al. [2005](#page-10-21); Collard and Mackill [2008\)](#page-10-22). This will remove the requirement for additional phenotypic assessment to confirm the presence of the trait-specific gene. Markers positioned in flanking locations on each side of the gene will also improve the reliability of selection, as the presence of both marker alleles in genotypes will indicate an absence of intervening recombination events (Collard et al. [2005](#page-10-21); Collard and Mackill [2008](#page-10-22)). The marker allele association should also be applicable across the wider crop genepool, rather than limited to single families or within pedigree lines.

The technical procedure for a marker assay needs to be simple and straight-forward, so that results can be obtained in a reliable and timely manner. High-throughput methods are therefore desirable in order to rapidly analyse the genotypic status of a large number of samples (Collard and Mackill [2008](#page-10-22)). The most widely used classes of markers in current plant molecular breeding practice are simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and SNPs. These markers are generally highly polymorphic, co-dominant, reliable, relatively simple and cheap to use, and they can also be multiplexed (Collard and Mackill [2008](#page-10-22)).

The use of markers must be cost-effective in comparison to conventional field and glasshouse-based screening procedures. Adoption of MAS into a breeding programme requires a benefit-cost analysis in order to verify the value to breeders. The cost of MAS for a given trait may be considered prohibitive due to the expense of DNA extraction, the number of assays required and the cost of equipment (Collard et al. [2005;](#page-10-21) Gebhardt et al. [2006](#page-10-23); Collard and Mackill [2008;](#page-10-22) Moose and Mumm [2008](#page-12-10); De Koeyer et al. [2011](#page-10-19)), although development of high-throughput equipment and assays can mitigate these factors. At the same time, the benefit-cost analysis will depend on the corresponding direct and indirect costs of a bioassay to obtain equivalent phenotypic data, including establishment and maintenance of field or glasshouse trials. Recently, MAS has been shown to be highly cost-effective in a number of crops (Yu et al. [2000](#page-13-17); Van Sanford et al. [2001;](#page-13-18) Dreher et al. [2003;](#page-10-24) Kuchel et al. [2005;](#page-11-20) Collard and Mackill [2008](#page-10-22)), including potato (Slater et al. [2013](#page-12-22)). As the product of a single DNA extraction may be used for a series of molecular marker assays, multiplexed assays in particular were shown to make MAS highly cost-effective as part of a multi-trait selection strategy.

Above all, the adoption of molecular plant breeding strategies requires complementary training and expertise in both molecular biology and plant breeding (Moose and Mumm [2008](#page-12-10)). Breeders and breeding companies require training in the use of marker-derived data as an alternative to conventional screening methods, which will undoubtedly require the development of new customised databases, along with computational tools to integrate phenotypic data, pedigree data and marker data sets to enable optimal breeding decisions to be made.

Qualitative or quantitative traits can both be identified using MAS, but the effectiveness will be greater for qualitative traits, as only a single gene needs to be selected. A major advantage of MAS over phenotypic selection is the ability to implement screening with reliability much earlier than for conventional methods, particularly in terms of availability of plant material. Selection can be performed at, or soon after, the seedling stage. In potato, the most efficient point of application was shown to be at the second field generation, in order to continue conventional screening for traits that currently cannot be assessed by MAS (Slater et al. [2013\)](#page-12-22). Phenotypic expression of a number of quantitative traits can be affected by the environment or development stage of the individual, a problem avoided by the use of MAS. Reliable data may also be collected from single plant samples, while the phenotypic data may require evaluation of many more replicates over multiple years or environments. MAS may also be used when biosecurity restrictions would not allow the phenotypic screening to be performed due to contamination risks, as for quarantine-restricted pathogens.

Marker analysis may also be applied to plants that are grown 'off-season' in a nursery. Target genotypes can be selected earlier, enabling relevant trait selection to be 'fasttracked'. Breeders can take advantage of these benefits using markers to greatly accelerate the breeding process (Ribaut and Hoisington [1998;](#page-12-23) Morris et al. [2003](#page-12-24)).

Genetic analysis for improvement in all traits (simple to complex)

Marker-assisted selection for qualitative traits

Marker-assisted breeding in potato has not yet been applied with the same vigour that has been observed in other crops, including cereals such as rice, maize, wheat and barley (Collard and Mackill [2008](#page-10-22)). This lack of adoption is presumably due to the complexities of autotetraploid genetics,

limited availability of detailed functionally associated maps, and the limited number of markers that are linked to genes for the numerous qualitative and quantitative traits of interest. The majority of studies to identify markers linked to traits have also been conducted on diploid populations, the results of which are not always transferable to tetraploid breeding populations (Moloney et al. [2010](#page-12-25)).

As previously stated, a number of traits are regulated by major genes, approximately 40 such qualitative genes have so far been mapped (Simko et al. [2007](#page-12-8)), together with a large number of markers that cover the majority of the potato genome (Van Os et al. [2006\)](#page-13-14). Important genes for qualitative traits that have been the subject of marker studies include *H1* (Gebhardt et al. [1993](#page-10-25); Pineda et al. [1993](#page-12-26); Bakker et al. [2004;](#page-9-7) Gebhardt et al. [2006](#page-10-23); Biryukova et al. [2008](#page-9-8); De Koeyer et al. [2010](#page-10-26); Schultz et al. [2010;](#page-12-27) Finkers-Tomczak et al. [2011;](#page-10-27) Schultz et al. [2012\)](#page-12-21), *GroVI* (Jacobs et al. [1996\)](#page-11-21) and *Gro1* for golden cyst nematode resistance (*Globodera rostochiensis*) (Barone et al. [1990](#page-9-9); Ballvora et al. [1995;](#page-9-10) Paal et al. [2004](#page-12-28)), *Ryadg* (Brigneti et al. [1997](#page-10-28); Hämäläinen et al. [1997,](#page-11-22) [1998](#page-11-23); Sorri et al. [1999;](#page-12-29) Kasai et al. [2000](#page-11-24); Dalla Rizza et al. [2006;](#page-10-20) Ottoman et al. [2009;](#page-12-19) Whitworth et al. [2009](#page-13-19)), *Rysto* (Song et al. [2005;](#page-12-30) Heldák et al. [2007](#page-11-25); Song and Schwarzfischer [2008](#page-12-31); Valkonen et al. [2008\)](#page-13-20) and *Ry*-*fsto* for PVY resistance (Flis et al. [2005;](#page-10-29) Witek et al. [2006](#page-13-21)), $Rx1$ (Gebhardt et al. [2006](#page-10-23)) and Rx_{adg} for PVX resistance (Bendahmane et al. [1997\)](#page-9-11), *Ns* for PVS resistance (Marczewski et al. [2002](#page-11-26); Witek et al. [2006\)](#page-13-21), *RB* for late blight resistance (Colton et al. 2006), R_{MCI} for root-knot nematode resistance (*Meloidogyne chitwoodi*) (Zhang et al. [2007](#page-13-22)), *Gm* and *Rm* for PVM resistance (Marczewski et al. [2006](#page-11-27)) and *Sen1* for potato wart resistance (Gebhardt et al. [2006](#page-10-23)).

Marker-assisted selection of QTLs of large magnitude in potato

One of the major disadvantages of molecular breeding for simple, monogenic (or vertical) disease resistance traits is the possibility that newly emerged pathogen races will prove to be virulent against individuals that contain these genes, and hence overcome the resistance. More complex (horizontal) resistance is governed by multiple genes, and is potentially more durable than monogenic resistance. This distinction is illustrated by the series of monogenic late blight resistance genes *R1*-*R11*, derived from *S. demissum*, of which several were rapidly overcome by the late blight pathogen following introgression into commercial potato cultivars (Umaerus and Umaerus [1994](#page-13-7)). Consequently, efforts have concentrated on the identification of multiple late blight resistance genes from various wild *Solanum* species for introgression into *S. tuberosum* backgrounds (Jacobs et al. [2010\)](#page-11-28). The quantitative late blight resistance

that was introgressed into the cultivar Stirling, among others, proved durable for over 20 years before being overcome in 2008 by the emergence of *P. infestans* 'genotype 13' (Bradshaw et al. [2009\)](#page-10-31).

A significant number of studies have aimed to locate genes or QTLs for traits of interest. Van Eck [\(2007](#page-13-4)) provided a review of studies on morphological and tuber traits, while Simko et al. [\(2007](#page-12-8)) provided a review of studies on pest and disease resistance.

A large number of quantitative disease resistance genes have so far been mapped (Simko et al. [2007\)](#page-12-8). These include QTLs for white cyst nematode resistance (*Globodera pallida*) (Sattarzadeh et al. [2006](#page-12-32); Moloney et al. [2010\)](#page-12-25); *Verticillium* wilt disease resistance (Simko et al. [2004](#page-12-33)); and *Potato leaf roll virus* (Marczewski et al. [2001](#page-11-29), [2004](#page-11-30)). Marker-based studies have also been undertaken for tuber quality traits (Bradshaw et al. [2008](#page-10-16); Kawchuk et al. [2008](#page-11-31); Li et al. [2008a](#page-11-32); Baldwin et al. [2011\)](#page-9-12), skin colour (De Jong et al. [2003\)](#page-10-32), tuber morphology (Li et al. [2005;](#page-11-33) Bradshaw et al. [2008](#page-10-16)), anthocyanin-pigmented potato tuber flesh (Zhang et al. [2009](#page-13-23)), agronomic traits (Bradshaw et al. [2008](#page-10-16)) and drought tolerance (Anithakumari et al. [2011](#page-9-13)). Other traits under complex polygenic control include dormancy, dry matter, starch content, fry colour, *Erwinia* resistance, tuberisation and yield (Bradshaw [2007b\)](#page-9-0). In these instances, QTLs of sufficiently large effect may not be readily located.

Association mapping is a general approach to the detection of correlations between genotypic and phenotypic variation in a population based on the property of linkage disequilibrium. As compared to linkage mapping, which requires the use of highly related individuals such as fullsibs, association mapping can exploit the properties of more complex populations with various degrees of relatedness and are hence closer in nature to germplasm pools relevant to breeding practice. This approach can provide much higher levels of resolution for the genetic dissection of quantitative traits, and broadens the opportunity to sample relevant QTL alleles (Varshney et al. [2005](#page-13-12)). This method has been used to identify QTLs for late blight resistance (Gebhardt et al. [2004\)](#page-10-33), maturity (Gebhardt et al. [2004](#page-10-33); D'Hoop et al. [2008;](#page-10-34) Kloosterman et al. [2013;](#page-11-7) D'hoop et al. [2014](#page-10-35)) and quality traits (D'Hoop et al. [2008](#page-10-34); D'hoop et al. [2014](#page-10-35)) using populations of commercial tetraploid potato cultivars. While Simko et al. ([2004\)](#page-12-33) used a candidate gene approach combined with association mapping to identify a QTL for Verticillium wilt resistance.

Quantitative genetic analysis of highly complex traits

There are a large number of potato traits that are regulated by multiple genes, or are quantitative in nature. Most important physiological and agronomic traits are under the control of several to a very large number of genes, each of which may have relatively low individual effect. The desire to obtain genetic gain in such highly complex and low heritability traits has led some breeders to use progeny tests to identify superior parental combinations. Progeny tests will help to determine the breeding value of the parent for these traits, without needing to identify the location or number of genes that regulate the expression of the trait.

Phenotypic selection of individuals for highly complex traits has been shown to be less effective than the use of progeny tests for family selection. This was illustrated for the practice of selection using visual preference from the first field generation, in which the growing environment and seed tuber size was shown to have a larger effect than the individual genotype (Maris [1986](#page-11-34)). Progeny testing has been extended to a range of traits in potato breeding. For example, progeny tests for multiple traits (visual preference, quantitative late blight tuber and foliage resistances, white potato cyst nematode resistance and fry colour for processing families) have been routinely used by a Scottish breeding programme (Bradshaw et al. [2003;](#page-10-36) Bradshaw [2007b](#page-9-0)). This programme has recently been assessed after four cycles of breeding using progeny tests, and superior clones and parental types were identified with improved yield and disease resistance, although the yield increase was small (Bradshaw et al. [2009\)](#page-10-31).

Analysis of progeny means will identify the broad sense heritability of the total parental genetic contribution to the family, while calculation of the narrow sense heritability will identify the additive genetic effect and would provide a real benefit to a breeding programme that aims to exploit continuous genetic gain.

Animal breeding programmes have adopted the estimation of breeding values using best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) (Henderson [1984\)](#page-11-35), to exploit the additive genetic variance. These programmes have benefited from the estimation of the genetic merit of selection candidates based on phenotypic values of all relatives using pedigree information in the analysis. Through the use of the phenotypic values from all relatives, including full-sibs, half-sibs and any other partial siblings, the amount of derived information is maximised and the most accurate genotypic value will be obtained, with a greater increase in accuracy for low heritability traits.

Recently, the use of BLUP estimated breeding values (EBVs) in potato breeding clearly demonstrated the advantage of using EBVs over progeny means in cross-generation prediction of performance, particularly for traits with low heritability (Slater et al. [2014\)](#page-12-11). As the BLUP analysis uses information from all relatives in the analysis, more data is used to calculate the EBV. As pedigree is used as a covariate, EBVs represent the additive genetic effect transmitted from parents to progeny (Falconer and Mackay [1996\)](#page-10-5). The BLUP analysis also provided EBVs for the families, all cultivars in the pedigree and for the individual genotypes themselves. BLUP can be applied to any desirable quantitative character, including those of low heritability.

The BLUP analysis and calculation of EBVs for a number of important traits also permitted a combined ranking of evaluated genotypes or the development of selection indices across multiple traits (Xu et al. [2012](#page-13-24)). This process should enable identification of genotypes based on properties of the population in addition to individual phenotypes, and provide a more accurate selection process for these traits, and potentially enabling breeding from the best progeny much earlier (Slater et al. [2014\)](#page-12-11).

Combining conventional, genomics‑assisted and EBV‑based approaches for reduction of the breeding cycle

Conventional potato breeding is relatively slow, laborious and a difficult process in which to obtain genetic gain. Potatoes also exhibit severe inbreeding depression, requiring a breeding model that employs outbreeding, in contrast to crops that employ backcrossing to produce homozygous 'pure' lines. Outcrossing combined with autotetraploidy makes potato highly heterozygous and genetic improvement a complex task. In addition, the time required for all the conventional screening trials to be conducted, means that the identification of methods to speed up, and improve the selection of superior combinations of desirable traits will greatly benefit the process.

Current cultivars continue to be susceptible to a range of pest and disease problems, and so are capable of significant improvement for a range of complex characters. Modern breeding methods and technologies have been promoted as offering great benefit for the enhancement of breeding programmes, but still require validation and demonstration of their cost-effectiveness in order to be adopted by a practical breeding programme. In particular, MAS has the ability to select for traits several years earlier in a programme than would be practical using conventional screening methods. MAS will be more effective for qualitative traits, but will also be valuable for quantitative characters, especially if QTLs of large effect contribute to the measured character, or if a group of markers can be identified that are linked to a group of alleles of smaller effects influencing the trait. On the other hand, selection for quantitative traits can be conducted using biometric analysis for genetic gain, without the need to identify precisely which individual genes exert particular effects.

The applications and opportunities for plant biotechnology based on genetic modification were recently reviewed by Barrell et al. [\(2013](#page-9-14)). These approaches may

Fig. 1 Comparison of the breeding cycles in potato using conventional phenotypic selection of individuals, and MAS and EBVs to identify superior individuals after 3 years. *BVP* Breeder's visual preference

provide further opportunity for the stacking of major genes, although the use of these approaches within a breeding programme will still require the quantitative genetic analysis of the highly heterozygous breeding populations for improvement in those traits that are controlled by a large number of the 39,000 genes identified by the potato genome sequencing effort (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium [2011](#page-12-16)). In addition, manipulation of the recipient genetic background based on molecular marker technology, particularly by genomic selection, could prove highly beneficial for optimisation of the transgene expression (Oud et al. [1995](#page-12-34); Schmidt et al. [2004\)](#page-12-35).

Genomic approaches will facilitate the rapid introduction of desirable genes into adapted backgrounds and the stacking of major genes to combine desirable traits. MAS can reduce the duration of the breeding cycle between crossing programmes in order to combine these genes with other desirable traits. A combination of MAS with EBVs for complex traits will result in a significant reduction in the breeding cycle for all measured traits. Consequently, the use of both of these breeding tools will ensure rapid progress in combining traits and improving genetic gain in potato breeding programmes. As these tools can be applied to any trait, no matter how complex, there is now an opportunity to see improvements in many traits, even those that have traditionally proven difficult to manipulate, such as yield (Jansky [2009](#page-11-0)). Therefore, adoption of MAS and EBVs will provide substantial benefits within a multi-trait breeding strategy, and allow the design of superior combinations and their analysis for maximum genetic gain.

Previous studies have shown that MAS can be costeffectively applied at the second field generation (Slater et al. [2013](#page-12-22)), and EBVs can be calculated for more complex traits at the same stage (Slater et al. [2014\)](#page-12-11). The

development of a selection index for all relevant traits will then enable gain for a combination of these traits. These advances will greatly accelerate the breeding cycle, as the combined use of MAS and EBVs can reduce the breeding cycle from over 10 to as few as 4 years (Fig. [1](#page-8-0)), and therefore, accelerate genetic gain relative to conventional breeding methods. At the same time, they will ensure that improvement is made in all measured traits, from those under simple genetic control to those under far more complex control.

MAS can be performed for a number of loci once they are validated against the phenotype of the desired trait. Studies of the potato genome sequence will allow the identification of gene sequences of known function, which should further help to identify candidate genes for related phenotypic traits. However, the identification of a large number of predicted genes within the sequence means that the majority are likely to have minor effects, whose function may not be easily detected and require quantitative genetic analysis, such as the calculation of EBVs.

As a number of agronomic traits are likely to be under highly complex genetic control, the analysis of these traits is likely to see the development of cultivars that are more productive for these traits under specific environmental and agronomic conditions. Use of MAS and EBVs, in conjunction with the diverse nature of the entire potato gene pool, will enable germplasm to be developed for specific targets or production areas. The reduction in the breeding cycle will allow rapid trait improvement and combination in superior germplasm, with progressive improvement of future cultivars.

The future development and implementation of even more powerful breeding technology in potato, such as genomic selection (Meuwissen et al. [2001;](#page-11-36) Heffner et al. [2009](#page-11-37); Jannink et al. [2010](#page-11-38); Hayes et al. [2013\)](#page-11-39), is anticipated to reduce the breeding cycle still further. This reduction in the breeding cycle will allow rapid trait improvement and combination in superior germplasm, with progressive improvement of future cultivars. Use of genomic selection, in conjunction with the diverse nature of the entire potato gene pool, will enable germplasm to be rapidly developed for emerging issues, such as the threat of global climate change and associated changes in abiotic and biotic stresses.

Author contribution ATS wrote the paper, NOIC, BJH, LS, MFBD, GJB and JWF read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by funding from Horticulture Australia Limited and the Victorian Department of Environment and Primary Industries.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical standard All experiments comply with the current laws of Australia and Scotland.

References

- Andersen JR, Lübberstedt T (2003) Functional markers in plants. Trends Plant Sci 8:554–560
- Andolfo G, Sanseverino W, Rombauts S, Van de Peer Y, Bradeen JM, Carputo D, Frusciante L, Ercolano MR (2013) Overview of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) candidate pathogen recognition genes reveals important *Solanum* R locus dynamics. New Phytol 197:223–237
- Anithakumari AM, Dolstra O, Vosman B, Visser RGF, van der Linden CG (2011) In vitro screening and QTL analysis for drought tolerance in diploid potato. Euphytica 181:357–369
- Bakker E, Achenbach U, Bakker J, Vliet J, Peleman J, Segers B, Heijden S, Linde P, Graveland R, Hutten R, Eck H, Coppoolse E, Vossen E, Bakker J, Goverse A (2004) A high-resolution map of the *H1* locus harbouring resistance to the potato cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis*. Theor Appl Genet 109:146–152
- Bakker E, Borm T, Prins P, van der Vossen E, Uenk G, Arens M, de Boer J, van Eck H, Ml Muskens, Vossen J, van der Linden G, van Ham R, Klein-Lankhorst R, Visser R, Smant G, Bakker J, Goverse A (2011) A genome-wide genetic map of NB-LRR disease resistance loci in potato. Theor Appl Genet 123:493–508
- Baldwin SJ, Dodds KG, Auvray B, Genet RA, Macknight RC, Jacobs JME (2011) Association mapping of cold-induced sweetening in potato using historical phenotypic data. Ann Appl Biol 158:248–256
- Ballvora A, Hesselbach J, Niewöhner J, Leister D, Salamini F, Gebhardt C (1995) Marker enrichment and high-resolution map of the segment of potato chromosome VII harbouring the nematode resistance gene *Gro1*. Mol Gen Genet 249:82–90
- Barone A (2004) Molecular marker-assisted selection for potato breeding. Am J Potato Res 81:111–117
- Barone A, Ritter E, Schachtschabel U, Debener T, Salamini F, Gebhardt C (1990) Localization by restriction fragment length polymorphism mapping in potato of a major dominant gene conferring resistance to the potato cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis*. Mol Gen Genet 224:177–182
- Barrell PJ, Meiyalaghan S, Jacobs JME, Conner AJ (2013) Applications of biotechnology and genomics in potato improvement. Plant Biotechnol J 11:907–920
- Bendahmane A, Kanyuka K, Baulcombe D (1997) High-resolution genetical and physical mapping of the *Rx* gene for extreme resistance to potato virus X in tetraploid potato. Theor Appl Genet 95:153–162
- Biryukova V, Zhuravlev A, Abrosimova S, Kostina L, Khromova L, Shmyglya I, Morozova N, Kirsanova S (2008) Use of molecular markers of potato golden nematode resistance genes *H1* and *GRO1*. Russ Agric Sci 34:365–368
- Bonierbale MW, Plaisted RL, Tanksley SD (1988) RFLP maps based on a common set of clones reveal modes of chromosomal evolution in potato and tomato. Genetics 120:1095–1103
- Bradshaw J (2007a) The canon of potato science: four tetrasomic inheritance. Potato Res 50:219–222
- Bradshaw JE (2007b) Potato-breeding strategy. In: Vreugdenhil D, Bradshaw J, Gebhardt C, Govers F, MacKerron DKL, Taylor MA, Ross HA (eds) Potato biology and biotechnology: advances and perspectives. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 157–177
- Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (1994) Breeding strategies for clonally propagated potatoes. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. Cab International, Wallingford, pp 467–497
- Bradshaw JE, Dale MFB, Mackay GR (2003) Use of mid-parent values and progeny tests to increase the efficiency of potato breeding for combined processing quality and disease and pest resistance. Theor Appl Genet 107:36–42
- Bradshaw JE, Bryan GJ, Ramsay G (2006) Genetic resources (including wild and cultivated *Solanum* species) and progress in their utilisation in potato breeding. Potato Res 49:49–65
- Bradshaw JE, Hackett CA, Pande B, Waugh R, Bryan GJ (2008) QTL mapping of yield, agronomic and quality traits in tetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum* subsp. *tuberosum*). Theor Appl Genet 116:193–211
- Bradshaw JE, Dale MFB, Mackay GR (2009) Improving the yield, processing quality and disease and pest resistance of potatoes by genotypic recurrent selection. Euphytica 170:215–227
- Brigneti G, Garcia-Mas J, Baulcombe DC (1997) Molecular mapping of the potato virus Y resistance gene $Ry_{\rm st0}$ in potato. Theor Appl Genet 94:198–203
- Brown CR (1993) Outcrossing rate in cultivated autotetraploid potato. Am Potato J 70:725–734
- Celis-Gamboa C, Struik PC, Jacobsen E, Visser RGF (2003) Temporal dynamics of tuber formation and related processes in a crossing population of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Ann Appl Biol 143:175–186
- Chen X, Salamini F, Gebhardt C (2001) A potato molecular-function map for carbohydrate metabolism and transport. Theor Appl Genet 102:284–295
- Cockerham G (1970) Genetical studies on resistance to potato viruses X and Y. Heredity 25:309–348
- Collard BC, Mackill DJ (2008) Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 363:557–572
- Collard B, Jahufer M, Brouwer J, Pang E (2005) An introduction to markers, quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping and markerassisted selection for crop improvement: The basic concepts. Euphytica 142:169–196
- Colton LM, Groza HI, Wielgus SM, Jiang J (2006) Marker-assisted selection for the broad-spectrum potato late blight resistance conferred by gene RB derived from a wild potato species. Crop Sci 46:589–594
- Dale MFB, Mackay GR (1994) Inheritance of table and processing quality. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 285–315
- Dalla Rizza M, Vilaró F, Torres D, Maeso D (2006) Detection of PVY extreme resistance genes in potato germplasm from the Uruguayan breeding program. Am J Potato Res 83:297–304
- de Jong H (1981) Inheritance of russeting in cultivated diploid potatoes. Potato Res 24:309–313
- De Jong WS, De Jong DM, Bodis M (2003) A fluorogenic 5' nuclease (TaqMan) assay to assess dosage of a marker tightly linked to red skin color in autotetraploid potato. Theor Appl Genet 107:1384–1390
- De Koeyer D, Douglass K, Murphy A, Whitney S, Nolan L, Song Y, de Jong W (2010) Application of high-resolution DNA melting for genotyping and variant scanning of diploid and autotetraploid potato. Mol Breed 25:67–90
- De Koeyer D, Chen H, Gustafson V (2011) Molecular breeding for potato improvement. In: Bradeen J, Kole C (eds) Genetics, genomics and breeding of potato. Science Publishers, Enfield, New Hampshire, pp 41–67
- D'Hoop BB, Paulo MJ, Mank RA, Van Eck HJ, Van Eeuwijk FA (2008) Association mapping of quality traits in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Euphytica 161:47–60
- D'hoop B, Keizer PC, Paulo MJ, Visser RF, Eeuwijk F, Eck H (2014) Identification of agronomically important QTL in tetraploid potato cultivars using a marker–trait association analysis. Theor Appl Genet 127:731–748
- Dong F, Song J, Naess SK, Helgeson JP, Gebhardt C, Jiang J (2000) Development and applications of a set of chromosome-specific cytogenetic DNA markers in potato. Theor Appl Genet 101:1001–1007
- Donnelly DJ, Prasher SO, Patel RM (2007) Towards the development of salt-tolerant potato. In: Vreugdenhil D, Bradshaw J, Gebhardt C, Govers F, MacKerron DKL, Taylor M, Ross H (eds) Potato biology and biotechnology, advances and perspectives. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 415–437
- Dreher K, Khairallah M, Ribaut J-M, Morris M (2003) Money matters (I): costs of field and laboratory procedures associated with conventional and marker-assisted maize breeding at CIMMYT. Mol Breed 11:221–234
- Falconer DS, Mackay TFC (1996) Introduction to Quantitative Genetics, 4th edn. Pearson, Prentice Hall, Harlow, England
- Felcher KJ, Coombs JJ, Massa AN, Hansey CN, Hamilton JP, Veilleux RE, Buell CR, Douches DS (2012) Integration of two diploid potato linkage maps with the potato genome sequence. PLoS ONE 7:e36347
- Finkers-Tomczak A, Bakker E, de Boer J, van der Vossen E, Achenbach U, Golas T, Suryaningrat S, Smant G, Bakker J, Goverse A (2011) Comparative sequence analysis of the potato cyst nematode resistance locus *H1* reveals a major lack of co-linearity between three haplotypes in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* ssp.). Theor Appl Genet 122:595–608
- Flis B, Hennig J, Strzelczyk-Zyta D, Gebhardt C, Marczewski W (2005) The *Ry*-*f sto* gene from *Solanum stoloniferum* for extreme resistant to Potato virus Y maps to potato chromosome XII and is diagnosed by PCR marker $GP122_{718}$ in PVY resistant potato cultivars. Mol Breed 15:95–101
- Gebhardt C (2013) Bridging the gap between genome analysis and precision breeding in potato. Trends Genet 29:248–256
- Gebhardt C, Valkonen JPT (2001) Organization of genes controlling disease resistance in the potato genome. Ann Rev Phytopathol 39:79–102
- Gebhardt C, Ritter E, Debener T, Schachtschabel U, Walkemeier B, Uhrig H, Salamini F (1989) RFLP analysis and linkage mapping in *Solanum tuberosum*. Theor Appl Genet 78:65–75
- Gebhardt C, Ritter E, Barone A, Debener T, Walkemeier B, Schachtschabel U, Kaufmann H, Thompson RD, Bonierbale MW, Ganal MW, Tanksley SD, Salamini F (1991) RFLP maps of potato and their alignment with the homoeologous tomato genome. Theor Appl Genet 83:49–57
- Gebhardt C, Mugniery D, Ritter E, Salamini F, Bonnel E (1993) Identification of RFLP markers closely linked to the *H1* gene conferring resistance to *Globodera rostochiensis* in potato. Theor Appl Genet 85:541–544
- Gebhardt C, Ballvora A, Walkemeier B, Oberhagemann P, Schüler K (2004) Assessing genetic potential in germplasm collections of crop plants by marker-trait association: a case study for potatoes with quantitative variation of resistance to late blight and maturity type. Mol Breed 13:93–102
- Gebhardt C, Lörz H, Wenzel G (2005) Potato genetics: Molecular maps and more. Molecular marker systems in plant breeding and crop improvement, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp 215–227
- Gebhardt C, Bellin D, Henselewski H, Lehmann W, Schwarzfischer J, Valkonen J (2006) Marker-assisted combination of major genes for pathogen resistance in potato. Theor Appl Genet 112:1458–1464
- Grube RC, Radwanski ER, Jahn M (2000) Comparative genetics of disease resistance within the solanaceae. Genetics 155:873–887
- Hackett CA, Luo ZW (2003) TetraploidMap: construction of a linkage map in autotetraploid species. J Hered 94:358–359
- Hackett CA, Milne I, Bradshaw JE, Luo Z (2007) TetraploidMap for Windows: Linkage map construction and QTL mapping in autotetraploid species. J Hered 98:727–729
- Hackett CA, McLean K, Bryan GJ (2013) Linkage analysis and QTL mapping using SNP dosage data in a tetraploid potato mapping population. PLoS One 8:e63939
- Hämäläinen JH, Watanabe KN, Valkonen JPT, Arihara A, Plaisted RL, Pehu E, Miller L, Slack SA (1997) Mapping and markerassisted selection for a gene for extreme resistance to potato virus Y. Theor Appl Genet 94:192–197
- Hämäläinen JH, Sorri VA, Watanabe KN, Gebhardt C, Valkonen JPT (1998) Molecular examination of a chromosome region that controls resistance to potato Y and A potyviruses in potato. Theor Appl Genet 96:1036–1043
- Hamilton J, Hansey C, Whitty B, Stoffel K, Massa A, Van Deynze A, De Jong W, Douches D, Buell CR (2011) Single nucleotide polymorphism discovery in elite north american potato germplasm. BMC Genom 12:302
- Hanneman REJ (1989) The potato germplasm resource. Am J Potato Res 66:655–667
- Hawkes JG (1990) The potato; evolution, biodiversity and genetic resources. Belhaven Press, London
- Hawkes JG (1994) Origins of cultivated potatoes and species relationships. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. Cab International, Wallingford, pp 3–42
- Hayes BJ, Cogan NOI, Pembleton LW, Goddard ME, Wang J, Spangenberg GC, Forster JW (2013) Prospects for genomic selection in forage plant species. Plant Breed 132:133–143
- Heffner EL, Sorrells ME, Jannink J-L (2009) Genomic selection for crop improvement. Crop Sci 49:1–12
- Heldák J, Bežo M, Štefúnová V, Galliková A (2007) Selection of DNA markers for detection of extreme resistance to potato virus Y in tetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) F1 progenies. Czech J Genet Plant Breed 43:125–134
- Henderson CR (1984) Applications of Linear Models in Animal Breeding. University of Guelph, Guelph
- Howard HW (1970) The Genetics of the Potato. Logos Press, London
- Jacobs JME, Eck HJ, Horsman K, Arens PFP, Verkerk-Bakker B, Jacobsen E, Pereira A, Stiekema WJ (1996) Mapping of resistance to the potato cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis* from the wild potato species *Solanum vernei*. Mol Breed 2:51–60
- Jacobs M, Vosman B, Vleeshouwers V, Visser R, Henken B, van den Berg R (2010) A novel approach to locate *Phytophthora infestans* resistance genes on the potato genetic map. Theor Appl Genet 120:785–796
- Jannink J-L, Lorenz AJ, Iwata H (2010) Genomic selection in plant breeding: from theory to practice. Brief Funct Genomics 9:166–177
- Jansky S (2009) Breeding, genetics and cultivar development. In: Singh J, Kaur L (eds) Advances in potato chemistry and technology. Academic Press, New York, pp 27–62
- Jupe F, Pritchard L, Etherington G, MacKenzie K, Cock P, Wright F, Sharma SK, Bolser D, Bryan G, Jones J, Hein I (2012) Identification and localisation of the NB-LRR gene family within the potato genome. BMC Genom 13:75
- Jupe F, Witek K, Verweij W, Śliwka J, Pritchard L, Etherington GJ, Maclean D, Cock PJ, Leggett RM, Bryan GJ, Cardle L, Hein I, Jones JDG (2013) Resistance gene enrichment sequencing (RenSeq) enables reannotation of the NB-LRR gene family from sequenced plant genomes and rapid mapping of resistance loci in segregating populations. Plant J 76:530–544
- Kasai K, Morikawa Y, Sorri VA, Valkonen JP, Gebhardt C, Watanabe KN (2000) Development of SCAR markers to the PVY resistance gene *Ryadg* based on a common feature of plant disease resistance genes. Genome 43:1–8
- Kawchuk L, Lynch D, Yada R, Bizimungu B, Lynn J (2008) Marker assisted selection of potato clones that process with light chip color. Am J Potato Res 85:227–231
- Kearsey MJ, Pooni HS (1998) The genetical analysis of quantitative traits. Stanley Thornes Ltd, Cheltenham
- Kloosterman B, Abelenda JA, Gomez MdMC, Oortwijn M, de Boer JM, Kowitwanich K, Horvath BM, van Eck HJ, Smaczniak C, Prat S, Visser RGF, Bachem CWB (2013) Naturally occurring allele diversity allows potato cultivation in northern latitudes. Nature 495:246–250
- Kuchel H, Ye G, Fox R, Jefferies S (2005) Genetic and economic analysis of a targeted marker-assisted wheat breeding strategy. Mol Breed 16:67–78
- Leister D, Ballvora A, Salamini F, Gebhardt C (1996) A PCRbased approach for isolating pathogen resistance genes from potato with potential for wide application in plants. Nat Genet 14:421–429
- Li X-Q, De Jong H, De Jong DM, De Jong WS (2005) Inheritance and genetic mapping of tuber eye depth in cultivated diploid potatoes. Theor Appl Genet 110:1068–1073
- Li L, Paulo M-J, Strahwald J, Lübeck J, Hofferbert H-R, Tacke E, Junghans H, Wunder J, Draffehn A, van Eeuwijk F, Gebhardt C (2008a) Natural DNA variation at candidate loci is associated with potato chip color, tuber starch content, yield and starch yield. Theor Appl Genet 116:1167–1181
- Li XQ, Griffiths R, De Koeyer D, Rothwell C, Gustafson V, Regan S, Flinn B (2008b) Functional genomic resources for potato. Can J Plant Sci 88:573–581
- Luo ZW, Zhang RM, Kearsey MJ (2004) Theoretical basis for genetic linkage analysis in autotetraploid species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:7040–7045
- Luo ZW, Zhang Z, Leach L, Zhang RM, Bradshaw JE, Kearsey MJ (2006) Constructing genetic linkage maps under a tetrasomic model. Genetics 172:2635–2645
- Marczewski W, Flis B, Syller J, Schäfer-Pregl R, Gebhardt C (2001) A major quantitative trait locus for resistance to *Potato leafroll virus* is located in a resistance hotspot on potato chromosome XI and is tightly linked to *N*-gene-like markers. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 14:1420–1425
- Marczewski W, Hennig J, Gebhardt C (2002) The *Potato virus S* resistance gene *Ns* maps to potato chromosome VIII. Theor Appl Genet 105:564–567
- Marczewski W, Flis B, Syller J, Strzelczyk-Zyta D, Hennig J, Gebhardt C (2004) Two allelic or tightly linked genetic factors at the PLRV.4 locus on potato chromosome XI control resistance to potato leafroll virus accumulation. Theor Appl Genet 109:1604–1609
- Marczewski W, Strzelczyk-Zyta D, Hennig J, Witek K, Gebhardt C (2006) Potato chromosomes IX and XI carry genes for resistance to potato virus M. Theor Appl Genet 112:1232–1238
- Maris B (1986) The effect of seed tuber weight on characters in the first and the second clonal generation of potato populations. Euphytica 35:465–482
- Maris B (1988) Correlations within and between characters between and within generations as a measure for the early generation selection in potato breeding. Euphytica 37:205–224
- Meuwissen THE, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME (2001) Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. Genetics 157:1819–1829
- Milbourne D, Meyer RC, Collins AJ, Ramsay LD, Gebhardt C, Waugh R (1998) Isolation, characterisation and mapping of simple sequence repeat loci in potato. Mol Gen Genet 259:233–245
- Milbourne D, Bradshaw JE, Hackett CA (2008) Molecular mapping and breeding in polyploid crop plants. In: Kole C, Abbott AG (eds) Principles and practices of plant genomics. Science Publishers, Enfield, pp 355–394
- Moloney C, Griffin D, Jones P, Bryan G, McLean K, Bradshaw J, Milbourne D (2010) Development of diagnostic markers for use in breeding potatoes resistant to *Globodera pallida* pathotype Pa2/3 using germplasm derived from *Solanum tuberosum* ssp. *andigena* CPC 2802. Theor Appl Genet 120:679–689
- Moose SP, Mumm RH (2008) Molecular plant breeding as the foundation for 21st century crop improvement. Plant Physiol 147:969–977
- Morris M, Dreher K, Ribaut J-M, Khairallah M (2003) Money matters (II): costs of maize inbred line conversion schemes at CIM-MYT using conventional and marker-assisted selection. Mol Breed 11:235–247
- Naess SK, Bradeen JM, Wielgus SM, Haberlach GT, McGrath JM, Helgeson JP (2000) Resistance to late blight in *Solanum bulbocastanum* is mapped to chromosome 8. Theor Appl Genet 101:697–704
- Ortega F, Lopez-Vizcon C (2012) Application of molecular markerassisted selection (MAS) for disease resistance in a practical potato breeding programme. Potato Res 55:1–13
- Ortiz R, Huaman Z (1994) Inheritance of morphological and tuber characteristics. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 263–283
- Ottoman R, Hane D, Brown C, Yilma S, James S, Mosley A, Crosslin J, Vales M (2009) Validation and implementation of markerassisted selection (MAS) for PVY resistance (*Ryadg* gene) in a tetraploid potato breeding program. Am J Potato Res 86:304–314
- Oud JSN, Schneiders H, Kool AJ, van Grinsven MQJM (1995) Breeding of transgenic orange *Petunia hybrida* varieties. Euphytica 84:175–181
- Ovchinnikova A, Krylova E, Gavrilenko T, Smekalova T, Zhuk M, Knapp S, Spooner DM (2011) Taxonomy of cultivated potatoes (*Solanum* section *Petota*: Solanaceae). Bot J Linn Soc 165:107–155
- Paal J, Henselewski H, Muth J, Meksem K, Menéndez CM, Salamini F, Ballvora A, Gebhardt C (2004) Molecular cloning of the potato *Gro1*-*4* gene conferring resistance to pathotype Ro1 of the root cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis*, based on a candidate gene approach. Plant J 38:285–297
- Pavek JJ, Corsini DL (1994) Inheritance of resistance to warm-growing-season fungal diseases. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 403–409
- Peters SA, Bargsten JW, Szinay D, van de Belt J, Visser RGF, Bai Y, de Jong H (2012) Structural homology in the Solanaceae: analysis of genomic regions in support of synteny studies in tomato, potato and pepper. Plant J 71:602–614
- Phillips MS (1994) Inheritance of resistance to nematodes. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 319–337
- Pineda O, Bonierbale MW, Plaisted RL, Brodie BB, Tanksley SD (1993) Identification of RFLP markers linked to the *H1* gene conferring resistance to the potato cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis*. Genome 36:152–156
- Plaisted R, Hoopes R (1989) The past record and future prospects for the use of exotic potato germplasm. Am J Potato Res 66:603–627
- Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium (2011) Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. Nature 475:189–195
- Quirin EA, Mann H, Meyer RS, Traini A, Chiusano ML, Litt A, Bradeen JM (2012) Evolutionary meta-analysis of solanaceous resistance gene and *Solanum* resistance gene analog sequences and a practical framework for cross-species comparisons. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 25:603–612
- Ribaut J-M, Hoisington D (1998) Marker-assisted selection: new tools and strategies. Trends Plant Sci 3:236–239
- Ross H (1986) Potato breeding—problems and perspectives. In: Parey P (ed) Advances in Plant Breeding, Verlag Berlin
- Sattarzadeh A, Achenbach U, Lübeck J, Strahwald J, Tacke E, Hofferbert H-R, Rothsteyn T, Gebhardt C (2006) Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping as basis for developing a PCR-based marker highly diagnostic for potato varieties with high resistance to *Globodera pallida* pathotype Pa2/3. Mol Breed 18:301–312
- Schmidt MA, Martin GS, Artelt BJ, Parrott WA (2004) Increased transgene expression by breeding and selection in white clover. Crop Sci 44:963–967
- Schultz L, Cogan NOI, Forster JW, Slater AT (2010) Evaluation and optimisation of the TG689 marker linked to PCN resistance. Potato Res 53:247–248
- Schultz L, Cogan NOI, McLean K, Dale MFB, Bryan GJ, Forster JW, Slater AT (2012) Evaluation and implementation of a potential diagnostic molecular marker for *H1*-conferred potato cyst nematode resistance in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Plant Breed 131:315–321
- Simko I, Costanzo S, Haynes KG, Christ BJ, Jones RW (2004) Linkage disequilibrium mapping of a *Verticillium dahliae* resistance quantitative trait locus in tetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) through a candidate gene approach. Theor Appl Genet 108:217–224
- Simko I, Jansky S, Stephenson S, Spooner DM (2007) Genetics of resistance to pests and diseases. In: Vreugdenhil D, Bradshaw J, Gebhardt C, Govers F, MacKerron DKL, Taylor MA, Ross HA (eds) Potato biology and biotechnology: advances and perspectives. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 117–155
- Simmonds NW (1964) The genetics of seed and tuber dormancy in the cultivated potatoes. Heredity 19:489–504
- Slater AT, Cogan NOI, Forster JW (2013) Cost analysis of the application of marker-assisted selection in potato breeding. Mol Breed 32:299–310
- Slater AT, Wilson GM, Cogan NOI, Forster JW, Hayes BJ (2014) Improving the analysis of low heritability complex traits for enhanced genetic gain in potato. Theor Appl Genet 127:809–820
- Song YS, Schwarzfischer A (2008) Development of STS markers for selection of extreme resistance (*Rysto*) to PVY and maternal pedigree analysis of extremely resistant cultivars. Am J Potato Res 85:159–170
- Song YS, Hepting L, Schweizer G, Hartl L, Wenzel G, Schwarzfischer A (2005) Mapping of extreme resistance to PVY (*Rysto*) on chromosome XII using anther-culture-derived primary dihaploid potato lines. Theor Appl Genet 111:879–887
- Sorri VA, Watanabe KN, Valkonen JPT (1999) Predicted kinase-3a motif of a resistance gene analogue as a unique marker for virus resistance. Theor Appl Genet 99:164–170
- Spooner DM, Bamberg JB (1994) Potato genetic resources: Sources of resistance and systematics. Am Potato J 71:325–337
- Spooner DM, Hijmans RJ (2001) Potato systematics and germplasm collecting, 1989–2000. Am J Potato Res 78:237–268
- Spooner DM, Nunez J, Trujillo G, del Rosario HM, Guzman F, Ghislain M (2007) Extensive simple sequence repeat genotyping of potato landraces supports a major reevaluation of their gene pool structure and classification. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:19398–19403
- Spooner DM, Ames M, Fajardo D, Rodriguez F (2009) Species boundaries and interrelationships of *Solanum* sect. *Petota* (wild and cultivated potatoes) are drastically altered as a result of PBI-funded research. Botany & Mycology 2009 Annual Meeting
- Stein J, Quarin CL, Martinez EJ, Pessino SC, Ortiz JPA (2004) Tetraploid races of *Paspalum notatum* show polysomic inheritance

and preferential chromosome pairing around the apospory-controlling locus. Theor Appl Genet 109:186–191

- Swaminathan MS, Howard HW (1953) The cytology and genetics of the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) and related species. Bibliogr Genet 16:1–192
- Swiezynski KM (1994) Inheritance of resistance to viruses. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 339–363
- Tameling W, Takken F (2008) Resistance proteins: scouts of the plant innate immune system. Eur J Plant Pathol 121:243–255
- Tanksley SD, Ganal MW, Prince JP, de Vicente MC, Bonierbale MW, Broun P, Fulton TM, Giovannoni JJ, Grandillo S, Martin GB et al (1992) High density molecular linkage maps of the tomato and potato genomes. Genetics 132:1141–1160
- Tomato Genome Consortium (2012) The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. Nature 485:635–641
- Toxopeus HJ, Huijsman CA (1953) Breeding for resistance to potato root eelworm. Euphytica 2:180–186
- Uitdewilligen JGAML, Wolters A-MA, D'hoop BB, Borm TJA, Visser RGF, van Eck HJ (2013) A next-generation sequencing method for genotyping-by-sequencing of highly heterozygous autotetraploid potato. PLoS One 8:e62355
- Umaerus V, Umaerus M (1994) Inheritance of resistance to late blight. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 365–401
- Valkonen JPT, Wiegmann K, Hämäläinen JH, Marczewski W, Watanabe KN (2008) Evidence for utility of the same PCR-based markers for selection of extreme resistance to Potato virus Y controlled by *Rysto* of *Solanum stoloniferum* derived from different sources. Ann Appl Biol 152:121–130
- Van Eck HJ (2007) Genetics of morphological and tuber traits. In: Vreugdenhil D, Bradshaw J, Gebhardt C, Govers F, MacKerron DKL, Taylor MA, Ross HA (eds) Potato biology and biotechnology: advances and perspectives. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 91–115
- Van Os H, Andrzejewski S, Bakker E, Barrena I, Bryan GJ, Caromel B, Ghareeb B, Isidore E, De Jong W, Van Koert P, Lefebvre V, Milbourne D, Ritter E, Rouppe Van Der Voort JNAM, Rousselle-Bourgeois F, Van Vliet J, Waugh R, Visser RGF, Bakker J, Van Eck HJ (2006) Construction of a 10,000-marker ultradense genetic recombination map of potato: Providing a framework for accelerated gene isolation and a genomewide physical map. Genetics 173:1075–1087
- Van Sanford D, Anderson J, Campbell K, Costa J, Cregan P, Griffey C, Hayes P, Ward R (2001) Discovery and deployment of

molecular markers linked to fusarium head blight resistance: An integrated system for wheat and barley. Crop Sci 41:638–644

- Van Vleck LD, Westell RA, Schneider JC (1986) Genetic change in milk yield estimated from simultaneous genetic evaluation of bulls and cows. J Dairy Sci 69:2963–2965
- Varshney RK, Graner A, Sorrells ME (2005) Genomics-assisted breeding for crop improvement. Trends Plant Sci 10:621–630
- Vos J, Haverkort AJ (2007) Water availability and potato crop performance. In: Vreugdenhil D, Bradshaw J, Gebhardt C, Govers F, MacKerron DKL, Taylor M, Ross H (eds) Potato biology and biotechnology, advances and perspectives. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 333–351
- Wallace AJ, Callow RS (1995) Meiotic variation in an intergenomic autopolyploid series. II. Pairing behaviour. Genome 38:133–139
- Wastie RL (1991) Resistance to powdery scab of seedling progenies of *Solanum tuberosum*. Potato Res 34:249–252
- Wastie RL (1994) Inheritance of fungal diseases of tubers. In: Bradshaw JE, Mackay GR (eds) Potato Genetics. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 411–427
- Wastie RL, Caligari PDS, Stewart HE, Mackay GR (1988) Assessing the resistance to gangrene of progenies of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) from parents differing in susceptibility. Potato Res 31:355–365
- Whitworth J, Novy R, Hall D, Crosslin J, Brown C (2009) Characterization of broad spectrum potato virus Y resistance in a *Solanum tuberosum* ssp. *andigena*-derived population and select breeding clones using molecular markers, grafting, and field inoculations. Am J Potato Res 86:286–296
- Witek K, Strzelczyk-Żyta D, Hennig J, Marczewski W (2006) A multiplex PCR approach to simultaneously genotype potato towards the resistance alleles *Ry*-*fsto* and *Ns*. Mol Breed 18:273–275
- Xu Y, Lu Y, Xie C, Gao S, Wan J, Prasanna B (2012) Whole-genome strategies for marker-assisted plant breeding. Mol Breed 29:833–854
- Yu K, Park SJ, Poysa V (2000) Marker-assisted selection of common beans for resistance to common bacterial blight: efficacy and economics. Plant Breed 119:411–415
- Zhang L-H, Mojtahedi H, Kuang H, Baker B, Brown CR (2007) Marker-assisted selection of columbia root-knot nematode resistance introgressed from *Solanum bulbocastanum*. Crop Sci 47:2021–2026
- Zhang Y, Jung C, De Jong W (2009) Genetic analysis of pigmented tuber flesh in potato. Theor Appl Genet 119:143–150